

MAY. 11. 2004 9:22AM

SCHLUMBERGER

NO. 271 P. 1

Schlumberger Oilfield Services

Sugar Land Product Center
200 Gillingham Lane
Mail Drop 200-9
Sugar Land, Texas 77478
Tel: (281) 285-8809
Fax: (281) 285-8821
e-mail: jsalazar9@slb.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 11 2004

OFFICIAL

Schlumberger

Fax message

To **EXAMINER JAGAN**

cc

From **J.L. Jennie Salazar
Schlumberger**

Subject **20.2787**

Location

Fax **703-872-9306**

Date **5/11/04**

Pages (inc) **5**

Please see attached.

Thank you,
Jennie Salazar

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is:

1. SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE;
2. ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT; OR
3. CONFIDENTIAL

It is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.
If you do not receive all pages, please call: (281) 285-8377.

MAY. 11. 2004 9:23AM SCHLUMBERGER

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 271 P. 2

MAY 11 2004

OFFICIAL

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office in accordance with § 1.6(d) to: 703/872-9306, on the date below

5/11/04

Date

Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.: 10/006,612

Confirmation No.: 1146

Applicant: Haddad et al.

Filed: November 30, 2001

TC/A.U. 2859

Examiner: Mirellys Jagan

Docket No.: 20.2787

Customer No.: 23718

Title: METHOD OF PREDICTING FORMATION TEMPERATURE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

In response to the Interview Summary dated May 3, 2004, Applicants submit the following statement of the substance of the interview within the one month deadline for response, namely before June 3, 2004:

Applicants submit that the substance of the interview is set forth in Continuation Sheet PTO-413, a copy of which is attached hereto.

Appl. No. 10/006,612
Response Dated May 11, 2004
Reply to Office Action Dated 05/03/2004

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Applicant believes this reply to be fully responsive to all outstanding issues and place this application in condition for allowance. If this belief is incorrect, or other issues arise, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action dated May 3, 2004 for which the one-month date for response is June 3, 2004. Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 19-0610 (Reference Number 20.2787).

Date: 5/11/04
Respectfully submitted,

Jennie J.L. Salazar, Reg. No. 45,065
Schlumberger Technology Corporation
200 Gillingham Lane, MD 9
Sugar Land, TX 77478
Telephone: (281) 285-8809
Facsimile: (281) 285-8821

Interview Summary

10/006,612

HADDAD ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Mirellys Jagan

2859

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mirellys Jagan.(3) Steve Christian.(2) Jennie Salazar.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2004.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 17.Identification of prior art discussed: Curtis and Stewart.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants explained the teachings of the Curtis and Stewart references, and how they do not disclose the claimed limitations of claims 1 and 17. Applicants stated that neither the Curtis reference nor the Stewart reference teach the claimed limitation (in claims 1 and 17) of using a 3-D fluid flow model for calculating the formation fluid temperature because the model of Curtis is a 2-D model, and the 3-D model of Stewart is a 3-D heat flow model. Therefore, the combination of Curtis and Stewart do not disclose the claimed limitation of using a 3-D fluid flow model. Furthermore, Applicant stated that, because Curtis and Stewart do not teach the claimed 3-D model, several of the remaining limitations of claims 1 and 17 are also not met because they are based on the use of the 3-D fluid flow model. Applicants will file a response to the last Office action presenting the arguments discussed in the interview for further consideration by the Examiner..